



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,535	02/01/2001	Hisao Haji	81754.0050	2273
26021	7590	02/07/2005	EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			QUELER, ADAM M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/775,535

Applicant(s)

HAJI ET AL.

Examiner

Adam M Queler

Art Unit

2179

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 December 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/07/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Information Disclosure Statement filed 12/07/2004 and Amendment filed 10/08/2004.

2. Claims 1-15 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 3, and 5-15 are independent claims.

3. The rejection of claims 1-15 as being anticipated by Henson is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henson, U.S. patent No. 6,167,383 - filed Sep. 22, 1998, and further in view of "Putting JavaScript to Work," published 7/1997, (<http://www.intranetjournal.com/corner/hogue/config-1.shtml>).**

Regarding independent claim 1, Henson discloses a method for providing a Web page (Fig. 3), to a receiving terminal (Fig. 2 (40)), the method comprising: Generating a new Web page that has a display control program (Fig. 3A (77)) and data needed (Fig. 3A, memory, Hard Drive, and Monitor, etc..) to operate the display control program added to a standard format Web page (Fig. 3) that is prepared beforehand, said display control program (Fig. 3A (77)) having been selected (Fig. 3A (96MB , SDRAM, 16.8GB Hard Driver, etc...)) according to display request received (Fig. 3A 175)) from a receiving terminal (Fig. 2 (40))., and transmitting the new Web page (Fig. 3) to the receiving terminal through a network (Fig. 2).

Henson does not explicitly disclose how the quantity is calculated. Hoque teaches performing calculations with JavaScript, which is inherently on the receiving terminal (p. 1, para. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Hoque and Henson, as it would have made the calculations much faster (p. 1, para. 2).

Regarding dependent claim 2, Henson discloses wherein the standard format Web page (Fig. 3B) is used to display a quotation amount (Fig. 3A, 3B, configured Price: * \$2,307) for a product (Dell Dimension XPS R) by making a quotation calculation (Fig. 3B (72)) on the receiving terminal (Fig. 2 (40)), and the necessary data (Fig. 3A, 3B (Memory, Hard driver, Monitor, Network card, etc....)) is data including product information needed for said quotation calculation (Fig. 3B (72)).

Regarding independent claim 3, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Regarding dependent claim 4, Henson discloses wherein the server is informed by the user (Fig. 3A) of the capability of the receiving side (Fig. 3A I77J).

Regarding independent claims 5-13, the claims incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claims 1 and 2, and are rejected along the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 14, Figs. 1 and 2 of Henson disclosing a system (10) for Web page (Fig. 3) to a receiving terminal (40) the system comprising: Web page (Figs. 3A and 3B) generation apparatus (10J operable to select a display control program (Fig. 3A, 3B 175, 77)) and data needed (Fig. 3A, 38 (Memory, Hard driver, Monitor, Network card, etc....)) to operate the display control program according to a predetermined condition (Figs. 3A, 38 (96MB SDRAM, 16.8GB Hard driver, etc....)) from a database (Fig. 1 (24)), and to add the display

Art Unit: 2179

control program (Fig. 3A (77J) and the data needed (Fig. 3A (Memory, Hard driver, Monitor, etc...)) to operate the display control program to a standard format Web page (Figs. 3A, 3B) that is prepared beforehand to generate the new Web page; and communication apparatus operable to transmit the new Web page through a network to the receiving terminal (Fig. 2).

Henson does not explicitly disclose how the quantity is calculated. Hoque teaches performing calculations with JavaScript, which is inherently on the receiving terminal (p.1, para. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Hoque and Henson, as it would have made the calculations much faster (p. 1, para. 2).

Regarding independent claim 15, recite a computer readable storage medium with a program stored therein which is equivalent to the system as recited in claim 14, and is similarly rejected, as above.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant alleges that in view of the amendments to the claims, Henson no longer anticipates the claims. The Office agrees and has withdrawn the rejection. However, the amended claims are found to be obvious in light of the new rejections recited above.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2179


the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam M Queler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AQ


HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100